

[補完]

## PCA for Highways

(Huang Textbook, p 235)

(1) Fatigue Equation recommended by PCA (Pavement and Traffic, 1985)

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{For } \frac{S}{S_c} \geq 0.55, \quad \log N_f = 11.737 - 12.077 \left( \frac{S}{S_c} \right) \\ \text{For } 0.45 < \frac{S}{S_c} < 0.55, \quad N_f = \left( \frac{4.2577}{\frac{S}{S_c} - 0.4325} \right)^{3.268} \\ \text{For } \frac{S}{S_c} \leq 0.45, \quad N_f = \text{unlimited.} \end{array} \right\} (5.36 \text{ a-c})$$

(2) Fatigue Analysis (Huang Textbook, p 608-609)

(a) Warping & Curling are excluded (∵ higher at the bottom ⇒ combined effect subtractive from load)

(b) edge stress (loading only)

(c) Truck placement ⇒ ① considering edge loading only and placing 6% of the total load repetitions at point edge

or ② use total number of repetitions for design but reduce stress to obtain same fatigue.

(\* Figure 12.10)

(d) 6% Truck encroachment ⇒ adjusting factor = 0.894

(3) Design Procedure (Huang Textbook, p 614)

(a) Design Tables and charts (equivalent stress)

Table 12.6 12.7 = edge stresses × 0.894 \*  
(w/ or w/o concrete shoulder)

(b) axle load = ? to generate the stresses

18-kip load for single axles and 36-kip load for Tandem

(c) Concrete modulus of Rupture

— reduced by 15% (one coefficient of variation)

— the increase in the modulus of rupture with age is incorporated.

(d) The dual and Tandem spacings as well as the tire contact pressure assumed by PCA is not known ~ (p 615)

(e) It is not known how much gain in strength was assumed by PCA (p 616)